

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
District of Minnesota

United States of America)	
v.)	
Fausto De Los Santos Garcia)	Case No: 17-CR-00294 (JMB/TNL) (3)
)	USM No: _____
Date of Original Judgment: 08/15/2019)	
Date of Previous Amended Judgment: 04/28/2022)	John S. Hughes
<i>(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)</i>)	<i>Defendant's Attorney</i>

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment *(as reflected in the last judgment issued)* of _____ months **is reduced to** _____ .
(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

The Defendant is ineligible for a sentence reduction because the amendment is not applicable to the defendant, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(a)(2)(A). Specifically, at sentencing, the Court determined the defendant had one criminal history point, which was not a status point. Therefore, the defendant is ineligible for a sentence reduction.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 04/28/2022 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 06/28/2024



Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Jeffrey M. Bryan, U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title